GRADE 3 SCORING RUBRIC FOR PROSE CONSTRUCTED RESPONSE ITEMS

Research Simulation Task (RST) and Literary Analysis Task (LAT)

Construct Measured	Score Point 3	Score Point 2	Score Point 2 Score Point 1	
Reading Comprehension and Written Expression	The student response demonstrates full comprehension by providing an accurate explanation/description/comparison; addresses the prompt and provides effective development of the topic that is consistently appropriate to task, purpose, and audience; uses clear reasoning supported by relevant, text-based evidence in the development of the topic; is effectively organized with clear and coherent writing; uses language effectively to clarify ideas.	The student response demonstrates comprehension by providing a mostly accurate explanation/ description/comparison; addresses the prompt and provides some development of the topic that is generally appropriate to task, purpose, and audience; uses reasoning and relevant, text-based evidence in the development of the topic; is organized with mostly clear and coherent writing; uses language in a way that is mostly effective to clarify ideas.	The student response demonstrates limited comprehension; addresses the prompt and provides minimal development of the topic that is limited in its appropriateness to task, purpose, and audience uses limited reasoning and text-based evidence; demonstrates limited organization and coherence; uses language to express ideas with limited clarity.	The student response • does not demonstrate comprehension; • is undeveloped and/or inappropriate to the task, purpose, and audience; • includes little to no text-based evidence; • lacks organization and coherence; • does not use language to express ideas with clarity.
Knowledge of Language and Conventions	The student response to the prompt demonstrates full command of the conventions of standard English at an appropriate level of complexity. There may be a few minor errors in mechanics, grammar, and usage, but meaning is clear. The student response to the prompt demonstrates some command of the conventions of standard English at an appropriate level of complexity. There may be errors in mechanics, grammar, and usage that occasionally impede understanding, but the meaning is generally clear.		The student response to the prompt demonstrates limited command of the conventions of standard English at an appropriate level of complexity. There may be errors in mechanics, grammar, and usage that often impede understanding.	The student response to the prompt does not demonstrate command of the conventions of standard English at the appropriate level of complexity. Frequent and varied errors in mechanics, grammar, and usage impede understanding.

GRADE 3 SCORING RUBRIC FOR PROSE CONSTRUCTED RESPONSE ITEMS

Narrative Writing Task (NWT)

Construct Measured	Score Point 3	Score Point 2	Score Point 1	Score Point 0
Written Expression	The student response • is effectively developed with narrative elements and is consistently appropriate to the task; • is effectively organized with clear and coherent writing • uses language effectively to clarify ideas.	The student response • is developed with some narrative elements and is generally appropriate to the task; • is organized with mostly coherent writing; • uses language in a way that is mostly effective to clarify ideas.	The student response is minimally developed with few narrative elements and is limited in its appropriateness to the task; demonstrates limited organization and coherence; uses language to express ideas with limited clarity.	The student response • is undeveloped and/or inappropriate to the task; • lacks organization and coherence; • does not use language to express ideas with clarity.
Knowledge of Language and Conventions			The student response to the prompt demonstrates limited command of the conventions of standard English at an appropriate level of complexity. There may be errors in mechanics, grammar, and usage that often impede understanding.	The student response to the prompt does not demonstrate command of the conventions of standard English at the appropriate level of complexity. Frequent and varied errors in mechanics, grammar, and usage impede understanding.

NOTE:

- The reading dimension is not scored for elicited narrative stories.
- Per the CCSS, narrative elements in grades 3-5 may include: establishing a situation, organizing a logical event sequence, describing scenes, objects or people, developing characters' personalities, and using dialogue as appropriate.
- The elements of organization to be assessed are expressed in the grade-level standards W1-W3.

A response is considered unscoreable if it cannot be assigned a score based on the rubric criteria. For unscoreable student responses, one of the following condition codes will be applied.

Coded Responses:

A=No response

B=Response is unintelligible or undecipherable

C=Response is not written in English

D=Off-topic

E=Refusal to respond

F=Don't understand/know

GRADES 4-5 SCORING RUBRIC FOR PROSE CONSTRUCTED RESPONSE ITEMS

Research Simulation Task (RST) and Literary Analysis Task (LAT)

Construct Measured	Score Point 4	Score Point 3	Score Point 2	Score Point 1	Score Point 0
Reading Comprehension and Written Expression	demonstrates full comprehension of ideas stated explicitly and/or inferentially by providing an accurate analysis;	demonstrates comprehension of ideas stated explicitly and/or inferentially by providing a mostly accurate analysis;	demonstrates basic comprehension of ideas stated explicitly and/or inferentially by providing a generally accurate analysis;	The student response demonstrates limited comprehension of ideas by providing a minimally accurate analysis;	the student response demonstrates no comprehension of ideas by providing an inaccurate or no analysis.
	addresses the prompt and provides effective development of the topic that is consistently appropriate to task, purpose, and audience;	addresses the prompt and provides <i>mostly</i> <i>effective</i> development of the topic that is <i>appropriate</i> to task, purpose, and audience;	addresses the prompt and provides some development of the topic that is somewhat appropriate to task, purpose, and audience;	addresses the prompt and provides <i>minimal</i> development of the topic that is <i>limited in its</i> <i>appropriateness</i> to task, purpose, and audience	is undeveloped and/or inappropriate to the task, purpose, and audience;
	uses <i>clear</i> reasoning supported by <i>relevant</i> , text-based evidence in the development of the topic;	 uses mostly clear reasoning supported by relevant text- based evidence in the development of the topic; 	uses <i>some</i> reasoning and text-based evidence in the development of the topic;	uses <i>limited</i> reasoning and text-based evidence;	includes <i>little to no</i> text-based evidence; lacks organization and
	is effectively organized with clear and coherent writing; uses language effectively to clarify ideas.	 is organized with mostly clear and coherent writing uses language that is mostly effective to clarify ideas. 	 demonstrates some organization with somewhat coherent writing; uses language to express ideas with some clarity. 	demonstrates <i>limited</i> organization and coherence; uses language to express ideas with <i>limited</i> clarity.	does not use language to express ideas with clarity.
Knowledge of Language and Conventions		The student response to the prompt demonstrates full command of the conventions of standard English at an appropriate level of complexity. There may be a few minor errors in mechanics, grammar, and usage, but meaning is clear .	The student response to the prompt demonstrates some command of the conventions of standard English at an appropriate level of complexity. There may be errors in mechanics, grammar, and usage that occasionally impede understanding, but the meaning is generally clear.	The student response to the prompt demonstrates limited command of the conventions of standard English at an appropriate level of complexity. There may be errors in mechanics, grammar, and usage that often impede understanding.	The student response to the prompt does not demonstrate command of the conventions of standard English at the appropriate level of complexity. Frequent and varied errors in mechanics, grammar, and usage impede understanding.

GRADES 4-5 SCORING RUBRIC FOR PROSE CONSTRUCTED RESPONSE ITEMS

Narrative Writing Task (NWT)

Construct Measured	Score Point 3	Score Point 2	Score Point 1	Score Point 0
	The student response • is effectively developed with narrative elements and is consistently appropriate to the task;	The student response • is developed with some narrative elements and is generally appropriate to the task;	The student response • is <i>minimally</i> developed with <i>few</i> narrative elements and is <i>limited in its</i> appropriateness to the task;	The student response • is undeveloped and/or inappropriate to the task;
Written Expression	 is effectively organized with clear and coherent writing uses language effectively to clarify ideas. 	is organized with mostly coherent writing; uses language that is mostly effective to clarify ideas.	 demonstrates <i>limited</i> organization and coherence; uses language to express ideas with <i>limited</i> clarity. 	 lacks organization and coherence; does not use language to express ideas with clarity.
Knowledge of Language and Conventions	The student response to the prompt demonstrates full command of the conventions of standard English at an appropriate level of complexity. There may be a few minor errors in mechanics, grammar, and usage, but meaning is clear.	The student response to the prompt demonstrates some command of the conventions of standard English at an appropriate level of complexity. There may be errors in mechanics, grammar, and usage that occasionally impede understanding, but the meaning is generally clear.	The student response to the prompt demonstrates limited command of the conventions of standard English at an appropriate level of complexity. There may be errors in mechanics, grammar, and usage that often impede understanding.	The student response to the prompt does not demonstrate command of the conventions of standard English at the appropriate level of complexity. Frequent and varied errors in mechanics, grammar, and usage impede understanding.

NOTE:

- The reading dimension is not scored for elicited narrative stories.
- Per the CCSS, narrative elements in grades 3-5 may include: establishing a situation, organizing a logical event sequence, describing scenes, objects or people, developing characters' personalities, and using dialogue as appropriate.
- The elements of organization to be assessed are expressed in the grade-level standards W1-W3.

A response is considered unscoreable if it cannot be assigned a score based on the rubric criteria. For unscoreable student responses, one of the following condition codes will be applied.

Coded Responses:

A=No response

B=Response is unintelligible or undecipherable

C=Response is not written in English

D=Off-topic

E=Refusal to respond

F=Don't understand/know

GRADES 6-11 SCORING RUBRIC FOR PROSE CONSTRUCTED RESPONSE ITEMS

Research Simulation Task (RST) and Literary Analysis Task (LAT)

Construct Measured	Score Point 4	Score Point 3	Score Point 2	Score Point 1	Score Point 0
Reading Comprehension and Written Expression	The student response demonstrates full comprehension of ideas stated explicitly and inferentially by providing an accurate analysis; addresses the prompt and provides effective and comprehensive development of the claim or topic that is consistently appropriate to task, purpose, and audience; uses clear reasoning supported by relevant text-based evidence in the development of the claim or topic; is effectively organized with clear and coherent writing;	The student response demonstrates comprehension of ideas stated explicitly and/or inferentially by providing a mostly accurate analysis; addresses the prompt and provides mostly effective development of claim or topic that is mostly appropriate to task, purpose, and audience; uses mostly clear reasoning supported by relevant text- based evidence in the development of the claim or topic; is organized with mostly clear and coherent writing;	The student response demonstrates basic comprehension of ideas stated explicitly and/or inferentially by providing a generally accurate analysis; addresses the prompt and provides some development of claim or topic that is somewhat appropriate to task, purpose, and audience; uses some reasoning and text-based evidence in the development of the claim or topic; demonstrates some organization with somewhat coherent writing;	The student response demonstrates limited comprehension of ideas stated explicitly and/or inferentially by providing a minimally accurate analysis; addresses the prompt and provides minimal development of claim or topic that is limited in its appropriateness to task, purpose, and audience; uses limited reasoning and text-based evidence; demonstrates limited organization and coherence;	The student response demonstrates no comprehension of ideas by providing an inaccurate or no analysis; is undeveloped and/or inappropriate to task, purpose, and audience; includes little to no text-based evidence; lacks organization and coherence; has an inappropriate style.
Knowledge of Language and Conventions	establishes and maintains an effective style.	establishes and maintains a mostly effective style. The student response to the prompt demonstrates full command of the conventions of standard English at an appropriate level of complexity. There may be a few minor errors in mechanics, grammar, and usage, but meaning is clear.	• has a style that is somewhat effective. The student response to the prompt demonstrates some command of the conventions of standard English at an appropriate level of complexity. There may be errors in mechanics, grammar, and usage that occasionally impede understanding, but the meaning is generally clear.	The student response to the prompt demonstrates limited command of the conventions of standard English at an appropriate level of complexity. There may be errors in mechanics, grammar, and usage that often impede understanding.	The student response to the prompt does not demonstrate command of the conventions of standard English at the appropriate level of complexity. Frequent and varied errors in mechanics, grammar, and usage impede understanding.

GRADES 6-11 SCORING RUBRIC FOR PROSE CONSTRUCTED RESPONSE ITEMS

Narrative Writing Task (NWT)

Construct Measured	Score Point 4	Score Point 3	Score Point 2	Score Point 1	Score Point 0
Written Expression	The student response is effectively developed with narrative elements and is consistently appropriate to the task;	The student response is mostly effectively developed with narrative elements and is mostly appropriate to the task;	The student response is developed with some narrative elements and is generally appropriate to the task;	is minimally developed with few narrative elements and is limited in its appropriateness to the task;	is undeveloped and/or inappropriate to the task;
	is effectively organized with clear and coherent writing;	is organized with mostly clear and coherent writing;	demonstrates some organization with somewhat coherent writing;	demonstrates limited organization and coherence;	lacks organization and coherence;
	establishes and maintains an effective style.	establishes and maintains a mostly effective style.	has a style that is somewhat effective.	has a style that has limited effectiveness.	has an inappropriate style.
Knowledge of Language and Conventions		The student response to the prompt demonstrates full command of the conventions of standard English at an appropriate level of complexity. There may be a few minor errors in mechanics, grammar, and usage, but meaning is clear.	The student response to the prompt demonstrates some command of the conventions of standard English at an appropriate level of complexity. There may be errors in mechanics, grammar, and usage that occasionally impede understanding, but the meaning is generally clear.	The student response to the prompt demonstrates limited command of the conventions of standard English at an appropriate level of complexity. There may be errors in mechanics, grammar, and usage that often impede understanding.	The student response to the prompt does not demonstrate command of the conventions of standard English at the appropriate level of complexity. Frequent and varied errors in mechanics, grammar, and usage impede understanding.

NOTE:

- The reading dimension is not scored for elicited narrative stories.
- The elements of coherence, clarity, and cohesion to be assessed are expressed in the grade-level standards 1-4 for writing.
- Tone is not assessed in grade 6.
- Per the CCSS, narrative elements in grades 3-5 may include: establishing a situation, organizing a logical event sequence, describing scenes, objects or people, developing characters' personalities, and using dialogue as appropriate. In grades 6-8, narrative elements may include, in addition to the grades 3-5 elements, establishing a context, situating events in a time and place, developing a point of view, developing characters' motives. In grades 9-11, narrative elements may include, in addition to the grades 3-8 elements, outlining step-by-step procedures, creating one or more points of view, and constructing event models of what happened. The elements to be assessed are expressed in grade-level standards 3 for writing.

A response is considered unscoreable if it cannot be assigned a score based on the rubric criteria. For unscoreable student responses, one of the following condition codes will be applied.

Coded Responses:

A=No response

B=Response is unintelligible or undecipherable

C=Response is not written in English

D=Off-topic

E=Refusal to respond

F=Don't understand/know